June 30, 2006

Via U.S. Mail and Facsimile (404-828-6440)

Michael L. Eskew Chairman and Chief Executive Officer United Parcel Service, Inc. 55 Glenlake Parkway, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30328

Re: United Parcel Service, Inc. Form 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005 Filed March 14, 2006 File No. 1-15451

Dear Mr. Eskew:

We have limited our review of your Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 to disclosure relating to your contacts

with a country that has been identified as a state sponsor of terrorism, and we have the following comments. Our review with respect to this issue does not preclude further review by the Assistant Director group with respect to other issues. At this juncture, we are asking you to provide us with supplemental information, so that we may better understand your disclosure. Please be as detailed as necessary in your response. After reviewing

this information, we may raise additional comments.

 $\label{eq:please understand that the purpose of our review process is to \\$ 

assist you in your compliance with the applicable disclosure requirements and to enhance the overall disclosure in your filings.

We look forward to working with you in these respects. We welcome any questions you may have about our comments or on any other aspect

of our review. Feel free to call us at the telephone numbers listed

at the end of this letter.

## General

1. It appears from your website that you have operations in Syria,  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{a}}$ 

country identified as a state sponsor of terrorism by the State Department and subject to U.S. export controls. Your Form 10-K

not include any information regarding operations in Syria. Please describe your operations in. and any other contacts with, Syria, and

discuss their materiality in light of Syria's status as a state sponsor of terrorism. Please also discuss whether such operations or  ${\bf r}$ 

contacts constitute a material investment risk to your security holders. Your response should discuss your past, current, and anticipated operations in Syria, whether through contractors or other

direct or indirect arrangements. Describe the services you offer in

Syria, and any agreements or contacts with the Syrian government or  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right)$ 

entities affiliated with the Syrian government.

2. Your materiality analysis should address materiality in quantitative terms, including the dollar amounts of any associated revenues, assets, and liabilities. Please also address materiality

in terms of qualitative factors that a reasonable investor would  $\ensuremath{\operatorname{deem}}$ 

important in making an investment decision, including the potential

impact of corporate activities upon a company's reputation and share  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right$ 

value. We note, for example, that Arizona and Louisiana have adopted

legislation requiring their state retirement systems to prepare reports regarding state pension fund assets invested in, and/or permitting divestment of state pension fund assets from, companies  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

that conduct business with countries identified as state sponsors of  ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}}$ 

terrorism. The Pennsylvania legislature has adopted a resolution directing its Legislative Budget and Finance Committee to report annually to the General Assembly regarding state funds invested in companies that have ties to terrorist-sponsoring countries. The Missouri Investment Trust has established an equity fund for the investment of certain state-held monies that screens out stocks of companies that do business with U.S.-designated state sponsors of terrorism. Your materiality analysis should address the potential impact of the investor sentiment evidenced by such actions directed

toward companies operating in Syria.

\* \* \* \* \*

Please respond to this comment within 10 business days or tell us when you will provide us with a response. Please file your response letter on EDGAR.

We urge all persons who are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the disclosure in the filings to be certain that the filings include all information required under the Exchange Act of 1934 and that they have provided all information investors require for an informed investment decision. Since the company and its management are in possession of all facts relating to the company's

disclosure, they are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right)$ 

disclosures they have made.

In connection with responding to our comment, please provide,

in writing, a statement from the company acknowledging that:

- \* the company is responsible for the adequacy and accuracy of the disclosure in the filings;
- $^{\star}$  staff comments or changes to disclosure in response to staff comments do not foreclose the Commission from taking any action with

respect to the filings; and

\* the company may not assert staff comments as a defense in any proceeding initiated by the Commission or any person under the federal securities laws of the United States.

In addition, please be advised that the Division of  ${\tt Enforcement}$ 

has access to all information you provide to the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance in our review of your filings or in  $\,$ 

response to our comments on your filings.

Please understand that we may have additional comments after we  $% \begin{center} \begin{center$ 

review your response to our comment. Please contact  $\ensuremath{\operatorname{Pradip}}$   $\ensuremath{\operatorname{Bhaumik}}$  ,

Attorney-Advisor, at (202) 551-3333 if you have any questions about

the comments or our review. You may also contact me at (202) 551-3470.

Sincerely,

Cecilia D. Blye, Chief Office of Global Security

Risk

cc: Max Webb
Assistant Director
Division of Corporation Finance

Michael L. Eskew United Parcel Service, Inc. June 30, 2006 Page 1